arXiv:1608.06739v3 [math-ph] 26 Apr 2017

On the Hartle-Hawking-Israel states for spacetimes with static bifurcate Killing horizons

Christian Gérard

Abstract. We revisit the construction by Sanders [S1] of the Hartle-Hawking-Israel state for a free quantum Klein-Gordon field on a spacetime with a static, bifurcate Killing horizon and a wedge reflection. Using the notion of the Calderón projector for elliptic boundary value problems and pseudodifferential calculus on manifolds, we give a short proof of its Hadamard property.

1. Introduction

Let (M, g) be a globally hyperbolic spacetime, with a *bifurcate Killing horizon*, see [KW], [S1] or Subsect. 2.1 for precise definition. The bifurcate Killing horizon $\mathscr{H}$ is generated by the *bifurcation surface* $\mathscr{B} = \{x \in M : V(x) = 0\}$, where V is the Killing vector field. It allows to split (M, g) into four globally hyperbolic regions, the *right/left wedges* $\mathscr{M}^+$, $\mathscr{M}^-$ and the *future/past cones* $\mathscr{F}$, $\mathscr{P}$, each invariant under the flow of V. An important object related with the Killing horizon $\mathscr{H}$ is its *surface gravity* κ, which is a scalar, constant over all of $\mathscr{H}$.

Let us consider on (M, g) a free quantum Klein-Gordon field associated to the Klein-Gordon equation

$$-\Box_g \phi(x) + m(x)\phi(x) = 0,$$

where $m \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$, $m(x) > 0$ is invariant under V, and its associated free field algebra.

If V is *time-like* in $(\mathscr{M}^+, g)$, ie if $(\mathscr{M}^+, g, V)$ is a stationary spacetime, there exists (see [S2]) for any $\beta > 0$ a *thermal state* ω_β^+ at temperature β^{-1} with respect to the group of Killing isometries of $(\mathscr{M}^+, g)$ generated by V.

It was conjectured by Hartle and Hawking [HH] and Israel [I] that if $\beta = \dfrac{2\pi}{\kappa}$ is the *inverse Hawing temperature*, denoted by $\beta_{\rm H}$ in the sequel, then ω_β^+ can be extended to the whole of M as a pure state, invariant under V, the *Hartle-Hawking-Israel state*, denoted in the sequel by $\omega_{\rm HHI}$.

The rigorous construction of the HHI state was first addressed in an important paper by Kay and Wald [KW], who emphasized the importance of the *Hadamard condition*. They proved that a specific sub-algebra of the free field algebra has at most one state invariant under V and Hadamard. They also showed that if M admits a *wedge reflection* (see Subsect. 2.2) the restriction of such a state to $\mathscr{M}^+$ will necessarily be a $\beta_{\rm H}-$KMS state. These results were later improved in [K1].

The existence of such a state, ie of the HHI state, was however not proved in [HH]. The first proof of the existence of $\omega_{\rm HHI}$ was given by Sanders in the remarkable paper [S1], if the bifurcate Killing horizon is static, ie if V is static in $\mathscr{M}^+$, assuming also the existence of a wedge reflection. Sanders showed that there exists a unique Hadamard state $\omega_{\rm HHI}$ on M extending the *double* $\beta_{\rm H}-$*KMS state* ω_β on $\mathscr{M}^+ \cup \mathscr{M}^-$.

Date: August 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 81T20, 35S05, 35S15.

Key words and phrases. Hartle-Hawking state, Killing horizons, Hadamard states, pseudo-differential calculus, Calderón projector.

The double β_H-KMS state ω_β is a pure state on $\mathscr{M}^+ \cup \mathscr{M}^-$ which is the natural extension of ω_β^+ defined using the wedge reflection, see [K2, K3]. It is an exact geometrical analog of the Fock vacuum vector in the Araki-Woods representation of a thermal state.

1.1. **Content of the paper.** In this paper we revisit the construction in [S1] of the Hartle-Hawking-Israel state in a spacetime with a static bifurcate Killing horizon. Using the notion of the *Calderón projector* (see Sect. 5), which is a standard tool in elliptic boundary value problems, we significantly shorten the proof of the Hadamard property of $\omega_{\rm HHI}$.

In [S1] the fact that $\omega_{\rm HHI}$ is Hadamard was proved by a careful comparison of the Hadamard parametrix construction for the D'Alembertian $-\Box_g + m$ associated to the Lorentzian metric g and for the Laplacian $-\Delta_{\hat{g}} + m$ associated to the Riemannian metric $\hat{g}$ obtained from g by Wick rotation in the Killing time coordinate.

In our paper we avoid working with the spacetime covariances of states and instead systematically work with the *Cauchy surface covariances* (see Subsect. 3.3) associated with a Cauchy surface Σ containing the bifurcation surface $\mathscr{B}$.

It turns out that the Cauchy surface covariances $\lambda^\pm$ of the double $\beta-$KMS state ω_β are related to a Calderón projector D.

Let us informally recall what is the Calderón projector associated to a elliptic boundary value problem, see Sect. 5 for more details:

let $(N, \hat{g})$ be a complete Riemannian manifold and $P = -\Delta_{\hat{g}} + m(x)$ for $m \in C^\infty(N)$, $m(x) > 0$ a Laplace-Beltrami operator. Let also $\Omega \subset M$ a smooth open set. To Ω is naturally associated the canonical surface density dS, defined by $\langle dS|u\rangle = \int_{\partial\Omega} u d\sigma$, for $u \in C^\infty_{\rm c}(M)$, where $d\sigma$ is the induced surface element on $\partial\Omega$.

If ∂_ν is the external normal derivative to $\partial\Omega$ and $\gamma u = \begin{pmatrix} u\restriction_{\partial\Omega} \\ \partial_\nu u\restriction_{\partial\Omega} \end{pmatrix}$ for $u \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ the Calderón projector D is a map from $C^\infty_{\rm c}(\partial\Omega)\otimes\mathbb{C}^2$ to $C^\infty(\partial\Omega)\otimes\mathbb{C}^2$ defined by:

$$Df := \gamma \circ G(f_1(dVol_{\hat{g}})^{-1} dS - f_0(dVol_{\hat{g}}^{-1})\partial_\nu^* dS),\ f = \begin{pmatrix} f_0 \\ f_1 \end{pmatrix} \in C^\infty_{\rm c}(\partial\Omega)\otimes\mathbb{C}^2,$$

where $G = P^{-1}$. It is easy to see that $f \in C^\infty(\Sigma)\otimes\mathbb{C}^2$ equals γu for some $u \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ solution of $Pu = 0$ in Ω if and only if $Df = f$.

In our case we take $N = \mathbb{S}_\beta \times \Sigma^+$, where $\mathbb{S}_\beta$ is the circle of length β and $\Sigma^+ = \Sigma \cap \mathscr{M}^+$ is the right part of the Cauchy surface Σ. The Riemannian metric is $\hat{g} = v^2(y)d\tau^2 + h_{ij}(y)dy^i dy^j$, obtained by the *Wick rotation* $t =: {\rm i}\tau$ of the Lorentzian metric $g = -v^2(y)dt^2 + h_{ij}(y)dy^i dy^j$ on $\mathscr{M}^+ \sim \mathbb{R}\times\Sigma^+$ where $\mathscr{M}^+$ is identified to $\mathbb{R}\times\Sigma^+$ using the Killing time coordinate t.

The existence of an extension of $\omega_{\beta_{\rm H}}$ to M is then an almost immediate consequence of the fact that $(N, \hat{g})$ admits a smooth extension $(N_{\rm ext}, \hat{g}_{\rm ext})$ if and only if $\beta = \beta_{\rm H}$, a well-known result which plays also a crucial role in [S1].

In fact this geometrical fact implies that D, viewed as an operator defined on $C^\infty_{\rm c}(\Sigma\backslash\mathscr{B})\otimes\mathbb{C}^2$ uniquely extends to a Calderon projector $D_{\rm ext}$, defined on $C^\infty_{\rm c}(\Sigma)\otimes\mathbb{C}^2$. From $D_{\rm ext}$ one can then easily obtain a pure quasi-free state $\omega_{\rm HHI}$ on the whole of M.

The Hadamard property of $\omega_{\rm HHI}$ follows then from the well-known fact that $D_{\rm ext}$, being a Calderón projector, is a 2×2 matrix of pseudodifferential operators on Σ, and of the Hadamard property of ω_β in $\mathscr{M}^+ \cup \mathscr{M}^-$.

Beside shortening the proof of the Hadamard property of $\omega_{\rm HHI}$, we think that our paper illustrates the usefulness of pseudodifferential calculus for the construction and study of Hadamard states, see also [GW1], [GW2], [GW3], [GOW] for other applications. We believe that Calderón projectors could also be used to construct

the Hartle-Hawking-Israel state in the still open case of spacetimes with a Killing horizon that is only stationary.

1.2. **Plan of the paper.** Let us now briefly give the plan of the paper. In Sect. 2 we recall the notion of a static bifurcate Killing horizon, following [S1] and introduce the associated Klein-Gordon equation.

Sect. 3 is devoted to background material on CCR^*-algebras, bosonic quasi-free states and their spacetime and Cauchy surface covariances in the case of quantum Klein-Gordon fields. We use the framework of *charged fields*, which is in our opinion more elegant, even when considering only neutral field equations. We also recall the notion of *pseudodifferential operators* on a manifold, which will be useful later on and formulate a consequence of [GW1] which states that the Cauchy surface covariances of *any* Hadamard state for Klein-Gordon fields is given by a matrix of pseudodifferential operators.

In Sect. 4 we define various 'Euclidean' Laplacians, $K = -\Delta_{\hat{g}} + m$ acting on $N = \mathbb{S}_\beta \times \Sigma^+$ and a related operator $\tilde{K}$, obtained from Wick rotation of the Lorentzian metric on M in the Killing time coordinate, which are considered in [S1]. It is sufficient for us to define these Laplacians by quadratic form techniques, which simplifies some arguments.

In Sect. 5 we recall the definition of the *Calderón projector*, which is a standard notion in elliptic boundary value problems. In Sect. 6, using the explicit expression for $\tilde{K}^{-1}$, we show that the projection associated to the double $\beta-$KMS state ω_β equals to the Calderón projector D associated to K and the open set $\Omega =]0, \beta/2[\times\Sigma^+$.

In Sect. 7, we recall the well-known fact that a smooth extension $(N_{\rm ext}, \hat{g}_{\rm ext})$ of $(N, \hat{g})$ exists iff $\beta = \beta_{\rm H}$. The extended Calderón projector $D_{\rm ext}$ generates a pure state on M, which is the Hartle-Hawking-Israel state $\omega_{\rm HHI}$. In Prop. 7.4, we show that such an extension is unique among quasi-free states whose spacetime covariances map $C^\infty_{\rm c}(M)$ into $C^\infty(M)$ continuously. Finally we give in the proof of Thm. 7.5 a new and elementary proof of the Hadamard property of $\omega_{\rm HHI}$, using the pseudodifferential calculus on Σ.

2. spacetimes with a static bifurcate Killing horizon

2.1. **Static bifurcate Killing horizons.** We consider as in [S1] a globally hyperbolic spacetime (M, g) with a *static bifurcate Killing horizon*. We recall, see [S1, Def. 2.2], that this is a triple (M, g, V), such that

(1) the Lorentzian manifold (M, g) is globally hyperbolic,
(2) V is a complete Killing vector field for (M, g),
(3) $\mathscr{B} := \{x \in M : V(x) = 0\}$ is an compact, orientable submanifold of codimension 2,
(4) there exists a Cauchy hypersurface Σ containing $\mathscr{B}$,
(5) V is $g-$orthogonal to Σ,

see Figure 1 below where the vector field V is represented by arrows.



FIGURE 1.

For simplicity we will also assume that the bifurcation surface $\mathscr{B}$ is connected. Denoting by n the future pointing normal vector field to Σ one introduces the *lapse function*:

$$v(x) := -n(x)\cdot g(x)V(x),\ x\in\Sigma, \tag{2.1}$$

and Σ decomposes as

$$\Sigma = \Sigma^-\cup\mathscr{B}\cup\Sigma^+,$$

where $\Sigma^\pm = \{x\in\Sigma : \pm v(x) > 0\}$. The spacetime M splits as

$$M = \mathscr{M}^+\cup\mathscr{M}^-\cup\overline{\mathscr{F}}\cup\overline{\mathscr{P}},$$

where the future cone $\mathscr{F} := I^+(\mathscr{B})$, the past cone $\mathscr{P} := I^-(\mathscr{B})$, the right/left wedges $\mathscr{M}^\pm := D(\Sigma^\pm)$, are all globally hyperbolic when equipped with g.

2.2. **Wedge reflection.** Additionally one has to assume the existence of a *wedge reflection*, see [S1, Def. 2.6], ie a diffeomorphism R of $\mathscr{M}^+\cup\mathscr{M}^-\cup U$ onto itself, where U is an open neighborhood of $\mathscr{B}$ such that:

(1) $R\circ R = \mathrm{Id}$,
(2) R is an isometry of $(\mathscr{M}^+\cup\mathscr{M}^-, g)$ onto itself, which reverses the time orientation,
(3) $R = \mathrm{Id}$ on $\mathscr{B}$,
(4) $R^*V = V$ on $\mathscr{M}^+\cup\mathscr{M}^-$.

It follows that R preserves Σ, see [S1, Prop. 2.7], and we denote by r the restriction of R to Σ. Denoting by h the induced Riemannian metric on Σ one has:

$$r^*h = h, r^*v = -v. \tag{2.2}$$

2.3. **Killing time coordinate.** Denoting by $\Phi_s^V : M\to M$ the flow of the Killing vector field V, we obtain a diffeomorphism

$$\chi : \mathbb{R}\times(\Sigma\backslash\mathscr{B}) \ni (t,y)\mapsto \Phi_t^V(y)\in\mathscr{M}^+\cup\mathscr{M}^-,$$

which defines the coordinate t on $\mathscr{M}^+\cup\mathscr{M}^-$ called the *Killing time coordinate*. The metric g on $\mathscr{M}^+\cup\mathscr{M}^-$ pulled back by χ takes the form (see [S1, Subsect. 2.1]):

$$g = -v^2(y)dt^2 + h_{ij}(y)dy^i dy^j, \tag{2.3}$$

where the Riemannian metric $h_{ij}(y)dy^i dy^j$ is the restriction of g to Σ.

2.4. **Klein-Gordon operator.** We fix a real potential $m \in C^\infty(M)$. As in [S1] we assume that m is stationary w.r.t. the Killing vector field V and invariant under the wedge reflection, ie:

$$V^a \nabla_a m(x) = 0,\ m \circ R(x) = m(x),\ x \in \mathscr{M}^+ \cup \mathscr{M}^- \cup U. \tag{2.4}$$

For simplicity we also assume that

$$m(x) \geq m_0^2 > 0,\ x \in M, \tag{2.5}$$

ie we consider only massive fields. Note that in [S1] the weaker condition $m(x) > 0$ was assumed. We consider the Klein-Gordon operator

$$P = -\Box_g + m. \tag{2.6}$$

3. Free Klein-Gordon fields

In this section we briefly recall some well-known background material on free quantum Klein-Gordon fields on globally hyperbolic spacetimes. We follow the presentation in [GW1, Sect. 2] based on *charged fields*.

3.1. **Charged CCR algebra.**

3.1.1. *Charged bosonic fields.* Let $\mathcal{Y}$ a complex vector space, $\mathcal{Y}^*$ its anti-dual. Sesquilinear forms on $\mathcal{Y}$ are identified with elements of $L(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}^*)$ and the action of a sesquilinear form β is correspondingly denoted by $\overline{y}_1 \cdot \beta y_2$ for $y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{Y}$. We fix $q \in L_{\rm h}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}^*)$ a non degenerate hermitian form on $\mathcal{Y}$, ie such that $\operatorname{Ker} q = \{0\}$.

The *CCR $^*-$algebra* $\mathrm{CCR}(\mathcal{Y}, q)$ is the complex $^*-$algebra generated by symbols $\mathbf{1}, \psi(y), \psi^*(y), y \in \mathcal{Y}$ and the relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\psi(y_1 + \lambda y_2) = \psi(y_1) + \overline{\lambda}\psi(y_2),\ y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{Y}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C},\\
&\psi^*(y_1 + \lambda y_2) = \psi^*(y_1) + \lambda\psi^*(y_2),\ y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{Y}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C},\\
&[\psi(y_1), \psi(y_2)] = [\psi^*(y_1), \psi^*(y_2)] = 0,\ [\psi(y_1), \psi^*(y_2)] = \overline{y}_1 \cdot q y_2 \mathbf{1},\ y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{Y},\\
&\psi(y)^* = \psi^*(y),\ y \in \mathcal{Y}.
\end{aligned}$$

A state ω on $\mathrm{CCR}(\mathcal{Y}, q)$ is *(gauge invariant) quasi-free* if

$$\omega(\prod_{i=1}^p \psi(y_i) \prod_{i=1}^q \psi^*(y_j)) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } p \neq q, \\ \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} \prod_{i=1}^p \omega(\psi(y_i)\psi^*(y_{\sigma(i)})) \text{ if } p = q. \end{cases}$$

There is no loss of generality to restrict oneself to charged fields and gauge invariant states, see eg the discussion in [GW1, Sect. 2]. It is convenient to associate to ω its *(complex) covariances* $\lambda^\pm \in L_{\rm h}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}^*)$ defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega(\psi(y_1)\psi^*(y_2)) &=: \overline{y}_1 \cdot \lambda^+ y_2,\\
\omega(\psi^*(y_2)\psi(y_1)) &=: \overline{y}_1 \cdot \lambda^- y_2,
\end{aligned} \quad y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{Y}.$$

The following results are well-known, see eg [DG, Sect. 17.1] or [GW1, Sect. 2]:

– two hermitian forms $\lambda^\pm \in L_{\rm h}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}^*)$ are the covariances of a quasi-free state ω iff

$$\lambda^\pm \geq 0,\ \lambda^+ - \lambda^- = q. \tag{3.1}$$

– Let $\mathcal{Y}_\omega$ be the completion of $\mathcal{Y}$ for the Hilbertian scalar product $\lambda^+ + \lambda^-$. If there exist linear operators $c^\pm \in L(\mathcal{Y}_\omega)$ such that

$$c^+ + c^- = \mathbf{1},\ (c^\pm)^2 = c^\pm,$$

(ie $c^\pm$ is a pair of complementary projections) and $\lambda^\pm = \pm q \circ c^\pm$, then ω is a *pure state*.

3.1.2. *Neutral bosonic fields.* We complete this subsection by explaining the relationship with the formalism of neutral fields, see eg [GW1, Subsect. 2.5].

Let $\mathcal{X}$ a real vector space, $\mathcal{X}^\#$ its dual, and $\sigma \in L_{\rm a}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^\#)$ a symplectic form on $\mathcal{X}$. The $^*-$algebra $\mathrm{CCR}(\mathcal{X}, \sigma)$ is the complex $^*-$algebra generated by symbols $\mathbf{1}, \phi(x), x \in \mathcal{X}$ and relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi(x_1 + \lambda x_2) = \phi(x_1) + \lambda\phi(x_2),\ x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R},\\
&[\phi(x_1), \phi(x_2)] = \mathrm{i}x_1{\cdot}\sigma x_2\mathbf{1},\ x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X},\\
&\phi(x)^* = \phi(x),\ x \in \mathcal{X}.
\end{aligned}$$

To relate the neutral to the charged formalism one sets $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{C}\mathcal{X}$ and for $\beta \in L(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^\#)$ denote by $\beta_{\mathbb{C}} \in L(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}^*)$ its sesquilinear extension. $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{R}} \sim \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{X}$ is the *real form* of $\mathcal{Y}$, ie $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathcal{Y}$ as a real vector space. Then $(\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathrm{Re}\sigma_{\mathbb{C}}) \sim (\mathcal{X}, \sigma) \oplus (\mathcal{X}, \sigma)$ is a real symplectic space and we denote by $\phi(y), y \in \mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{R}}$ the selfadjoint generators of $\mathrm{CCR}(\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathrm{Re}\sigma_{\mathbb{C}})$. Under the identification$\phi(y) \sim \phi(x) \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \phi(x')$ for $y = x + \mathrm{i}x'$ we can identify $\mathrm{CCR}(\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathrm{Re}\sigma_{\mathbb{C}})$ with $\mathrm{CCR}(\mathcal{X}, \sigma) \otimes \mathrm{CCR}(\mathcal{X}, \sigma)$ as $^*-$algebras.

Note also that under the identification

$$\psi(y) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi(y) + \mathrm{i}\phi(iy)),\ \psi^*(y) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi(y) - \mathrm{i}\phi(iy)),\ y \in \mathcal{Y}$$

we can identify $\mathrm{CCR}(\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathrm{Re}\sigma_{\mathbb{C}})$ with $\mathrm{CCR}(\mathcal{Y}, q)$ for $q = \mathrm{i}\sigma_{\mathbb{C}}$.

A quasi-free state ω on $\mathrm{CCR}(\mathcal{X}, \sigma)$ is determined by its *real covariance* $\eta \in L_{\rm s}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^\#)$ defined by:

$$\omega(\phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)) =: x_1{\cdot}\eta x_2 + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}x_1{\cdot}\sigma x_2,\ x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}.$$

A symmetric form $\eta \in L_{\rm s}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^\#)$ is the covariance of a quasi-free state iff

$$\eta \geq 0,\ |x_1{\cdot}\sigma x_2| \leq 2(x_1{\cdot}\eta x_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_2{\cdot}\eta x_2)^{\frac{1}{2}},\ x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}.$$

To such a state ω we associate the quasi-free state $\tilde{\omega}$ on $\mathrm{CCR}(\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathrm{Re}\sigma_{\mathbb{C}})$ with real covariance $\mathrm{Re}\eta_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then its complex covariances $\lambda^\pm$ are given by (see [GW1, Subsect. 2.5]):

$$\lambda^\pm = \eta_{\mathbb{C}} \pm \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\sigma_{\mathbb{C}}. \tag{3.2}$$

Applying complex conjugation, we immediately see that in this case

$$\lambda^+ \geq 0 \ \Leftrightarrow\ \lambda^- \geq 0, \tag{3.3}$$

so it suffices to check for example that $\lambda^+ \geq 0$.

3.2. **Free Klein-Gordon fields.** Let $P = -\Box_g + m(x)$, $m \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ a Klein-Gordon operator on a globally hyperbolic spacetime (M, g) (we use the convention $(1, d)$ for the Lorentzian signature). Let $E^\pm$ be the advanced/retarded inverses of P and $E := E^+ - E^-$. We apply the above framework to

$$\mathcal{Y} = \frac{C_{\rm c}^\infty(M)}{PC_{\rm c}^\infty(M)},\ \overline{[u]}{\cdot}q[u] = \mathrm{i}(u|Eu)_M,$$

where $(u|v)_M = \int_M \overline{u}vdVol_g$.

One restricts attention to quasi-free states on $\mathrm{CCR}(\mathcal{Y}, q)$ whose covariances are given by distributions on $M \times M$, ie such that there exists $\Lambda^\pm \in \mathcal{D}'(M \times M)$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
&\omega(\psi([u_1])\psi^*([u_2])) = (u_1|\Lambda^+u_2)_M,\\
&\omega(\psi^*([u_2])\psi([u_1])) = (u_1|\Lambda^-u_2)_M,
\end{aligned}\quad u_1, u_2 \in C_{\rm c}^\infty(M). \tag{3.4}$$

In the sequel the distributions $\Lambda^\pm \in \mathcal{D}'(M \times M)$ will be called the *spacetime covariances* of the state ω.

In (3.4) we identify distributions on M with distributional densities using the density $dVol_g$ and use the notation $(u|\varphi)_M$, $u \in C_{\rm c}^\infty(M)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}'(M)$ for the duality bracket. We have then

$$\begin{aligned} &P(x,\partial_x)\Lambda^\pm(x,x') = P(x',\partial_{x'})\Lambda^\pm(x,x') = 0,\\ &\Lambda^+(x,x') - \Lambda^-(x,x') = {\rm i}E(x,x'). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Such a state is called a *Hadamard state* , (see [R] for the neutral case and [GW1] for the complex case) if

$$\mathrm{WF}(\Lambda^\pm)' \subset \mathscr{N}^\pm \times \mathscr{N}^\pm, \tag{3.6}$$

where $\mathrm{WF}(\Lambda)'$ denotes the 'primed' wavefront set of Λ, ie $S' := \{((x,\xi),(x',-\xi')) : ((x,\xi),(x',\xi')) \in S\}$ for $S \subset T^*M \times T^*M$, and $\mathscr{N}^\pm$ are the two connected components (positive/negative energy shell) of the characteristic manifold:

$$\mathscr{N} := \{(x,\xi) \in T^*M\backslash\{0\}) : \xi_\mu g^{\mu\nu}(x)\xi_\nu = 0\}. \tag{3.7}$$

3.3. **Cauchy surface covariances.** Denoting by $Sol_{\rm sc}(P)$ the space of smooth space-compact solutions of $P\phi = 0$, it is well known that

$$[E] : \frac{C_{\rm c}^\infty(M)}{PC_{\rm c}^\infty(M)} \ni [u] \mapsto Eu \in Sol_{\rm sc}(P)$$

is bijective, with

$${\rm i}(u_1|Eu_2) = \overline{Eu}_1 \cdot qEu_2,\ u_i \in C_{\rm c}^\infty(M),$$

for

$$\overline{\phi}_1 \cdot q\phi_2 := {\rm i}\int_\Sigma (\nabla_\mu \overline{\phi}_1 \phi_2 - \overline{\phi}_1 \nabla_\mu \phi_2) n^\mu d\sigma, \tag{3.8}$$

where Σ is any spacelike Cauchy hypersurface, n^μ is the future directed unit normal vector field to Σ and $d\sigma$ the induced surface density. Setting

$$\rho : C_{\rm sc}^\infty(M) \ni \phi \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \phi\restriction_\Sigma \\ {\rm i}^{-1}\partial_\nu\phi\restriction_\Sigma \end{pmatrix} = f \in C_{\rm c}^\infty(\Sigma) \oplus C_{\rm c}^\infty(\Sigma)$$

Since the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} P\phi = 0, \\ \rho u = f \end{cases}$$

as a unique solution $\phi \in Sol_{\rm sc}(P)$ for $f \in C_{\rm c}^\infty(\Sigma) \oplus C_{\rm c}^\infty(\Sigma)$ the map

$$\frac{C_{\rm c}^\infty(M)}{PC_{\rm c}^\infty(M)} \ni [u] \mapsto \rho Eu \in C_{\rm c}^\infty(\Sigma) \oplus C_{\rm c}^\infty(\Sigma)$$

is bijective, and

$${\rm i}(u|Eu)_M = \overline{\rho Eu} \cdot q\rho Eu,$$

for

$$\overline{f} \cdot qf := \int_\Sigma \overline{f}_1 f_0 + \overline{f}_0 f_1 d\sigma_\Sigma,\ f = \begin{pmatrix} f_0 \\ f_1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.9}$$

It follows that to a quasi-free state with spacetime covariances $\Lambda^\pm$ one can associate its *Cauchy surface covariances* $\lambda^\pm$ defined by:

$$\Lambda^\pm =: (\rho E)^* \lambda^\pm (\rho E). \tag{3.10}$$

Using the canonical scalar product $(f|f)_\Sigma := \int_\Sigma \overline{f}_1 f_1 + \overline{f}_0 f_0 d\sigma_\Sigma$ we identify $\lambda^\pm$ with operators, still denoted by $\lambda^\pm$, belonging to $L(C_{\rm c}^\infty(\Sigma) \oplus C_{\rm c}^\infty(\Sigma), \mathcal{D}'(\Sigma) \oplus \mathcal{D}'(\Sigma))$.

A more explicit expression of $\lambda^\pm$ in terms of $\Lambda^\pm$ is as follows, see eg [GOW, Thm. 7.10]: let us introduce Gaussian normal coordinates to Σ

$$U \ni (t,y) \mapsto \chi(t,y) \in V,$$

where U is an open neighborhood of $\{0\}\times\Sigma$ in $\mathbb{R}\times\Sigma$ and V an open neighborhood of Σ in M, such that $\chi^* g = -dt^2 + h_{ij}(t,y)dy^i dy^j$. We denote by $\Lambda^\pm(t,y,t',y') \in \mathcal{D}'(U\times U)$ the restriction to $U\times U$ of the distributional kernel of $\Lambda^\pm$. By (3.5) and standard microlocal arguments, their restrictions to fixed times t,t', denoted by $\Lambda^\pm(t,t') \in \mathcal{D}'(\Sigma\otimes\Sigma)$ are well defined.

We know also that $\partial_t^k\partial_{t'}^{k'}\Lambda^\pm(0,0) \in \mathcal{D}'(\Sigma\times\Sigma)$ is well defined for $k,k' = 0,1$. Then setting $\lambda^\pm =: \pm q\circ c^\pm$ we have:

$$c^\pm = \pm\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{i}\partial_{t'}\Lambda^\pm(0,0) & \Lambda^\pm(0,0) \\ \partial_t\partial_{t'}\Lambda^\pm(0,0) & \mathrm{i}^{-1}\partial_t\Lambda^\pm(0,0)\end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.11}$$

Large classes of Hadamard states were constructed in terms of their Cauchy surface covariances in [GW1, GOW] using pseudodifferential calculus on Σ, see below for a short summary.

3.4. **Pseudodifferential operators.** We briefly recall the notion of (classical) pseudodifferential operators on a manifold, referring to [Sh, Sect. 4.3] for details.

For $m\in\mathbb{R}$ we denote by $\Psi^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the space of classical pseudodifferential operators of order m on $\mathbb{R}^d$, associated with poly-homogeneous symbols of order m see eg [Sh, Sect. 3.7].

Let N be a smooth, $d-$dimensional manifold. Let $U\subset N$ a precompact chart open set and $\psi: U\to\tilde{U}$ a chart diffeomorphism, where $\tilde{U}\subset\mathbb{R}^d$ is precompact, open. We denote by $\psi^*: C^\infty_{\mathrm{c}}(\tilde{U})\to C^\infty_{\mathrm{c}}(U)$ the map $\psi^* u(x) := u\circ\psi(x)$.

Definition 3.1. *A linear continuous map $A: C^\infty_{\mathrm{c}}(N)\to C^\infty(N)$ belongs to $\Psi^m(N)$ if the following condition holds:*

(C) Let $U\subset N$ be precompact open, $\psi: U\to\tilde{U}$ a chart diffeomorphism, $\chi_1,\chi_2\in C^\infty_{\mathrm{c}}(U)$ and $\tilde{\chi}_i = \chi_i\circ\psi^{-1}$. Then there exists $\tilde{A}\in\Psi^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$(\psi^*)^{-1}\chi_1 A\chi_2\psi^* = \tilde{\chi}_1\tilde{A}\tilde{\chi}_2. \tag{3.12}$$

Elements of $\Psi^m(N)$ are called (classical) pseudodifferential operators *of order m on N.*

The subspace of $\Psi^m(N)$ of pseudodifferential operators with properly supported kernels *is denoted by $\Psi^m_{\mathrm{c}}(N)$.*

Note that if $\Psi^\infty_{(\mathrm{c})}(N) := \bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{R}}\Psi^m_{(\mathrm{c})}(N)$, then $\Psi^\infty_{\mathrm{c}}(N)$ is an algebra, but $\Psi^\infty(N)$ is not, since without the proper support condition, pseudodifferential operators cannot in general be composed.

We denote by $T^*N\backslash\{0\}$ the cotangent bundle of N with the zero section removed.

To $A\in\Psi^m(N)$ one can associate its *principal symbol* $\sigma_{\mathrm{pr}}(A)\in C^\infty(T^*N\backslash\{0\})$, which is homogeneous of degree m in the fiber variable ξ in T^*M, in $\{|\xi|\geq 1\}$. A is called *elliptic* in $\Psi^m(N)$ at $(x_0,\xi_0)\in T^*N\backslash\{0\}$ if $\sigma_{\mathrm{pr}}(A)(x_0,\xi_0)\neq 0$.

If $A\in\Psi^m(N)$ there exists (many) $A_{\mathrm{c}}\in\Psi^m_{\mathrm{c}}(N)$ such that $A-A_{\mathrm{c}}$ has a smooth kernel.

Finally one says that $(x_0,\xi_0)\notin \mathrm{essupp}(A)$ for $A\in\Psi^\infty(N)$ if there exists $B\in\Psi^\infty_{\mathrm{c}}(N)$ *elliptic* at (x_0,ξ_0) such that $A_{\mathrm{c}}\circ B$ is smoothing, where $A_{\mathrm{c}}\in\Psi^\infty_{\mathrm{c}}(N)$ is as above, ie $A-A_{\mathrm{c}}$ is smoothing.

3.5. **The Cauchy surface covariances of Hadamard states.** We now state a result which follows directly from a construction of Hadamard states in [GW1, Subsect. 8.2].

Theorem 3.2. *Let ω be any Hadamard state for the free Klein-Gordon field on (M,g) and Σ a spacelike Cauchy hypersurface. Then its Cauchy surface covariances $\lambda^\pm$ are 2×2 matrices with entries in $\Psi^\infty(\Sigma)$.*

Proof. It is well known (see eg [R]) that if ω_1, ω_2 are Hadamard states, then $\Lambda_1^{\pm} - \Lambda_2^{\pm}$ are smoothing operators on M. Using (3.10) this implies that $\lambda_1^{\pm} - \lambda_2^{\pm}$ are matrices of smoothing operators on Σ. From the definition of $\Psi^{\infty}(\Sigma)$ it hence suffices to construct *one* Hadamard state ω whose Cauchy surface covariances $\lambda^{\pm}$ are matrices of pseudodifferential operators. The state constructed in [GW1, Subsect. 8.2] has this property, as can be seen from [GW1, Equ. (8.2)]. □

4. Euclidean operators

The construction of the $\beta-$KMS state on $\mathscr{M}^+$ with respect to the Killing vector field V relies on the *Wick rotation*, where $(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^+, g)$ is replaced by $(\mathbb{S}_\beta \times \Sigma^+, \hat{g})$:

$$\hat{g} = v^2(y)d\tau^2 + h_{ij}(y)dy^i dy^j, \tag{4.1}$$

is the Riemannian metric obtained from (2.3) by setting $t = \mathrm{i}\tau$ and $\mathbb{S}_\beta = [0, \beta[$ with endpoints identified is the circle of length β.

In this section we recall various 'Euclidean' operators related to $\hat{g}$ appearing in [S1, S2]. It will be convenient to construct them by quadratic form techniques.

We set

$$N := \mathbb{S}_\beta \times \Sigma^+,$$

whose elements are denoted by (τ, y). We equip N with the Riemannian metric $\hat{g}$ in (4.1) and the associated density $dVol_{\hat{g}} = |v|(y)|h|^{\frac{1}{2}}(y)d\tau dy$. The hypersurface Σ^+ is equipped with the induced density $dVol_h = |h|^{\frac{1}{2}}(y)dy$.

4.1. **Euclidean operator on N.** We consider the operator

$$K := -\Delta_{\hat{g}} + m(y),$$

for m as in Subsect. 2.4. Note that m depends only on y since m is invariant under the Killing flow. We have

$$K = -v^{-2}(y)\overline{\partial}_\tau^2 - |v|^{-1}(y)|h|^{-\frac{1}{2}}(y)\overline{\partial}_{y^i}|v|(y)|h|^{\frac{1}{2}}(y)h^{ij}(y)\overline{\partial}_{y^j} + m(y).$$

K is well defined as a selfadjoint operator on $L^2(N, dVol_{\hat{g}})$ obtained from the quadratic form:

$$Q(u,u) := \int_N \left(|v|^{-2}|\partial_\tau u|^2 + \partial_i \overline{u} h^{ij} \partial_j u + m|u|^2\right) dVol_{\hat{g}}, \tag{4.2}$$

which is closeable on $C_{\mathrm{c}}^\infty(N)$, since K is symmetric and bounded from below on this domain. Denoting its closure again by Q and the domain of its closure by $\mathrm{Dom}\, Q$, K is the selfadjoint operator associated to Q, ie the Friedrichs extension of its restriction to $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}_\beta) \otimes C_{\mathrm{c}}^\infty(\Sigma^+)$. We know that $u \in \mathrm{Dom}\, K$, $Ku = f$ iff

$$Q(u,w) = (f|w)_{L^2(N)},\ \forall w \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}_\beta) \otimes C_{\mathrm{c}}^\infty(\Sigma \backslash \mathscr{B}). \tag{4.3}$$

From (2.5) we know that $K \geq m_0^2$ hence is boundedly invertible and we set

$$G := K^{-1}.$$

4.2. **Change of volume form.** Let us define the quadratic form $\tilde{Q}$ by $\mathrm{Dom}\, \tilde{Q} = |v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\, \mathrm{Dom}\, Q$ and

$$\tilde{Q}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}) := Q(u,u),\ u = |v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{u}.$$

Since $\tilde{u} \mapsto |v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{u}$ is unitary from $L^2(\mathbb{S}_\beta) \otimes L^2(\Sigma^+)$ to $L^2(N)$, we obtain that $\tilde{Q}$ is closed. The operator $\tilde{K}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{S}_\beta) \otimes L^2(\Sigma \backslash \mathscr{B})$ associated to $\tilde{Q}$ equals

$$\tilde{K} := |v|^{3/2} K |v|^{1/2} =: -\overline{\partial}_\tau^2 + \epsilon^2(y, \overline{\partial}_y),$$

where:

$$\epsilon^2(y, \overline{\partial}_y) = -|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}(y)|h|^{-\frac{1}{2}}(y)\overline{\partial}_{y^i}|v|(y)|h|^{\frac{1}{2}}(y)h^{ij}(y)\overline{\partial}_{y^j}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}(y) + v^2(y)m(y),$$

is obtained from the quadratic form

$$\int_{\Sigma^+} \left(\partial_i |v|^{\frac{1}{2}} \overline{\tilde{u}} |v| h^{ij} \partial_i |v|^{\frac{1}{2}} u + |v|^2 m |u|^2\right) |h|^{\frac{1}{2}} dy.$$

The operator $\tilde{G} := \tilde{K}^{-1}$ is also well defined as a (possibly unbounded) operator on $L^2(S_\beta) \otimes L^2(\Sigma^+)$, since $0 \notin \sigma_{\rm pp}(\tilde{K})$. We have the identity:

$$G = |v|^{1/2} \tilde{G} |v|^{3/2}. \tag{4.4}$$

We now recall a well known expression for $\tilde{G}$. Let

$$F(\tau) = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\tau\epsilon} + \mathrm{e}^{(\tau-\beta)\epsilon}}{2\epsilon(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\beta\epsilon})},\ \tau \in [0, \beta[,$$

extended to $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ by $\beta-$periodicity. In particular we have:

$$F(\tau) = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-|\tau|\epsilon} + \mathrm{e}^{(|\tau|-\beta)\epsilon}}{2\epsilon(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\beta\epsilon})},\ \tau \in [-\beta, \beta] \tag{4.5}$$

The following expression for $\tilde{G} = \tilde{K}^{-1}$ is well-known (see eg [DG, Sect. 18.3.2]):

$$\tilde{G}\tilde{u}(\tau) = \int_{\mathbb{S}_\beta} F(\tau - \tau')\tilde{u}(\tau')d\tau',\ \tilde{u} \in L^2(S_\beta) \otimes L^2(\Sigma \backslash \mathscr{B}). \tag{4.6}$$

5. Calderón projectors

In this section we recall some standard facts on *Calderón projectors*. We refer the reader to [CP, Sects. 5.1- 5.3] for details.

5.1. **The Calderón projector.** Let (N, h) a complete Riemannian manifold and $P = -\Delta_h + m$, where $m \in C^\infty(N)$ is a real potential with $m(x) \geq m_0^2 > 0$. As in Sect. 4 we construct P as a selfadjoint operator on $L^2(N, dVol_h)$ using the quadratic form

$$Q(u,u) = \int_N \partial_i \overline{u} h^{ij} \partial_j u + m(x)|u|^2(x) dVol_h. \tag{5.1}$$

We obtain that $0 \in \rho(P)$, hence $G := P^{-1}$ is a bounded operator on $L^2(N, dVol_h)$, defined by

$$Q(Gv, w) = (v|w)_{L^2(N)},\ \forall w \in C_{\rm c}^\infty(N). \tag{5.2}$$

Let $\Omega \subset N$ an open set such that $\partial\Omega = S = \bigcup_1^n S_i$, where S_i are the connected components of S and are assumed to be smooth hypersurfaces. We denote by $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ the space of restrictions to Ω of functions in $C^\infty(N)$.

We associate to S_i the distribution density dS_i defined by:

$$\langle dS_i | u \rangle := \int_{S_i} u d\sigma_h^{(i)},\ u \in C_{\rm c}^\infty(N),$$

where $d\sigma_h^{(i)}$ is the induced Riemannian density on S_i and we set

$$dS = \sum_{i=1}^n dS_i.$$

We denote by ∂_ν the unit exterior normal vector field to S and set

$$\langle \partial_\nu^* dS | u \rangle := \langle dS | \partial_\nu u \rangle,\ u \in C_{\rm c}^\infty(N).$$

For $u \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ we set

$$\gamma u := \begin{pmatrix} u\restriction_S \\ \partial_\nu u\restriction_S \end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 u \\ \gamma_1 u \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $v \in C_{\rm c}^\infty(S)$ we denote by $\tilde v \in C_{\rm c}^\infty(N)$ an extension of v to N such that $\tilde u\restriction_S = u, \partial_\nu \tilde u\restriction_S = 0$.

Definition 5.1. *Let* $f = \begin{pmatrix} f_0 \\ f_1 \end{pmatrix} \in C_{\rm c}^\infty(S) \oplus C_{\rm c}^\infty(S)$. *We set:*

$$Df := \gamma \circ G(\tilde f_1 (dVol_h)^{-1} dS - \tilde f_0 (dVol_h^{-1}) \partial_\nu^* dS).$$

– *The operator* $D : C_{\rm c}^\infty(S) \oplus C_{\rm c}^\infty(S) \to C^\infty(S) \oplus C^\infty(S)$ *is continuous and is called the* Calderón projector *associated to* (P, S).

– *The operator* D *is a* 2×2 *matrix of pseudodifferential operators on* S.

Note that dS and $\partial_\nu^* dS$ are distributional densities, hence $(dVol_h)^{-1} dS$ and $(dVol_h)^{-1} \partial_\nu^* dS$ are distributions on N, supported on S.

Note also that the Calderón projector is obviously covariant under diffeomorphisms: if $\chi : (N, h) \to (N', h')$ is an isometric diffeomorphism with $S' = \chi(S)$, $P = \chi^* P'$, then

$$D = \psi^* D',$$

where $\psi : S \to S'$ is the restriction of χ to S.

5.1.1. *Expression in Gaussian normal coordinates.* Let U_i be a neighborhood of $\{0\} \times S_i$ in $\mathbb{R} \times S_i$ and V_i a neighborhood of S_i in N such that Gaussian normal coordinates to S_i induce a diffeomorphism:

$$\chi_i : U_i \ni x \mapsto (s, y) \in V_i$$

from U_i to V_i, and $ds^2 + k_s(y) dy^2 = \chi_i^* h$ on U_i. Then for $f \in C_{\rm c}^\infty(S_i) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi_i^* \left(\tilde f_1 (dVol_h)^{-1} dS - \tilde f_0 (dVol_h)^{-1} \partial_\nu^* dS \right) \\ = \;& \delta_0(s) \otimes (f_1(y) - r_0(y) f_0(y)) - \delta_0'(s) \otimes f_0(y), \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

where $r_s(y) = |k_s|^{-\frac{1}{2}}(y) \partial_s |k_s|^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

If $\varphi \in C_{\rm c}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\varphi \geq 0$, $\int \varphi(s) ds = 1$, setting $\varphi_n(s) = n\varphi(ns)$, we can compute Df for $f \in C_{\rm c}^\infty(S_i) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ as

$$Df = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \gamma \circ G(\varphi_n(s) \otimes (f_1(y) - r_0(y) f_0(y)) - \varphi_n'(s) \otimes f_1(y)), \tag{5.4}$$

where the limit takes place in $C^\infty(S) \oplus C^\infty(S)$.

Note that it is not obvious that $Df \in C^\infty(S) \oplus C^\infty(S)$. To prove it one can first replace G by a properly supported pseudodifferential parametrix $P^{(-1)} \in \Psi_{\rm c}^{-2}(N)$. Using then Gaussian normal coordinates near a point $x^0 \in S$, one is reduced locally to $N = \mathbb{R}^d$, $S = \{x_1 = 0\}$. The details can be found for example in [CP, Sects. 5.1- 5.3].

Another useful identity is the following: for $u \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ let Iu be the extension of u by 0 in $N \backslash \overline{\Omega}$. Then

$$PIu = \tilde f_1 (dVol_h)^{-1} dS - \tilde f_0 (dVol_h^{-1}) \partial_\nu^* dS + IPu, \text{ for } f = \gamma u. \tag{5.5}$$

6. The double $\beta-$KMS state

In this section we consider the *double* $\beta-$*KMS state* ω_β in $\mathscr{M}^+ \cup \mathscr{M}^-$. It is obtained as the natural extension to $\mathscr{M}^+ \cup \mathscr{M}^-$ of the state ω_β^+ in $\mathscr{M}^+$, which is a $\beta-$KMS state in $\mathscr{M}^+$ with respect to the Killing flow . Its construction, for the more general stationary case is given in [S1, Thm. 3.5].

Since $\Sigma \backslash \mathscr{B}$ is a Cauchy surface for $\mathscr{M}^+ \cup \mathscr{M}^-$, we associate to ω_β its (complex) Cauchy surface covariances on $\Sigma \backslash \mathscr{B}$ $\lambda^\pm$, and (since ω_β is a pure state), the pair of complementary projections $c^\pm = \pm q^{-1} \circ \lambda^\pm$, see Subsect. 3.1. We will study in details the projection c^+.

We identify $C_{\rm c}^\infty(\Sigma\backslash\mathscr{B})$ with $C_{\rm c}^\infty(\Sigma^+)\otimes\mathbb{C}^2$ using the map

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{R}:\quad & C_{\rm c}^\infty(\Sigma^+)\otimes\mathbb{C}^2\to C_{\rm c}^\infty(\Sigma^+)\oplus C_{\rm c}^\infty(\Sigma^-)\\ & g=g^{(0)}\oplus g^{(\beta/2)}\mapsto f=g^{(0)}\oplus r^*g^{(\beta/2)},\end{aligned}\tag{6.1}$$

where $r:\Sigma\to\Sigma$ is the restriction to Σ of the wedge reflection R, see Subsect. 2.2.

We will show that

$$C:=\hat{R}^{-1}\circ c^+\circ\hat{R}$$

is exactly the Calderón projector for the Euclidean operator K_+ acting on $(N,\hat{g})$, see Subsect. 4.1, and the open set

$$\Omega:=\{(\tau,y)\in N:0<\tau<\beta/2\}.$$

6.1. **The double $\beta-$KMS state.** We recall now the expression of ω_β given by Sanders, see [S1, Sect. 3.3].

There are some differences in signs and factors of i with the expression given by Sanders in [S1, Sect. 3.3]. They come from two differences between our convention for quantized Klein-Gordon fields and the one of Sanders:

- our convention for Cauchy data of a solution of $Pu=0$ is given the map $\rho u=\begin{pmatrix}u\restriction_\Sigma\\ {\rm i}^{-1}\partial_\nu u\restriction_\Sigma\end{pmatrix}=:f$, which is more natural for complex fields and leads to a more symmetric formulation of the Hadamard condition, while Sanders uses $\rho u=\begin{pmatrix}u\restriction_\Sigma\\ \partial_\nu u\restriction_\Sigma\end{pmatrix}=g$, so $f=\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&-{\rm i}\end{pmatrix}g$.

- we use as complex symplectic form $\overline{f}\cdot\sigma f'=(f_1|f_0')-(f_0|f_1')$, while Sanders uses $g\cdot\sigma g'=(g_0|g_1')-(g_1|g_0')$. In terms of spacetime fields, we use $i^{-1}E$, Sanders uses ${\rm i}E$.

Let us unitarily identify $L^2(\Sigma,|h|^{\frac{1}{2}}dy)$ with $L^2(\Sigma^+,|h|^{\frac{1}{2}}dy)\oplus L^2(\Sigma^-,|h|^{\frac{1}{2}}dy)$, by

$$u\mapsto u_+\oplus u_-,\ u_\pm=u\restriction_{\Sigma^\pm}\ .$$

Under this identification the action of the wedge reflection $r^*u=u\circ r$ will be denoted by T, with:

$$T(u_+\oplus u_-):=r^*u_-\oplus r^*u_+.\tag{6.2}$$

A direct comparison with the formulas in [S1, Sect. 3.3], using the identity (3.2) gives the following proposition.

Proposition 6.1. *The double $\beta-$KMS state on $\mathscr{M}^+\cup\mathscr{M}^-$ is given by the Cauchy surface covariance $\lambda^+=\begin{pmatrix}\lambda^+_{00}&\lambda^+_{01}\\ \lambda^+_{10}&\lambda^+_{11}\end{pmatrix}$ where:*

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^+_{00}&=\tfrac{1}{2}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\epsilon^{-1}\coth(\tfrac{\beta}{2}\epsilon)+\epsilon^{-1}T{\rm sh}^{-1}(\tfrac{\beta}{2}\epsilon)\right)|v|^{\frac{1}{2}},\\ \lambda^+_{11}&=\tfrac{1}{2}|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\epsilon\coth(\tfrac{\beta}{2}\epsilon)-\epsilon T{\rm sh}^{-1}(\tfrac{\beta}{2}\epsilon)\right)|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}},\\ \lambda^+_{01}&=\lambda^+_{10}=\tfrac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.3}$$

As in Subsect. 3.1 we have $\lambda^-=\lambda^+-q$, where the charge $q={\rm i}\sigma$ is given by the matrix $q=\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix}$. We introduce the operators $c^\pm:=\pm q^{-1}\lambda^\pm$ and obtain

$$c^+=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{2}&\lambda^+_{00}\\ \lambda^+_{11}&\frac{1}{2}\end{pmatrix}.\tag{6.4}$$

Note that if

$$b_0=\epsilon^{-1}\coth(\frac{\beta}{2}\epsilon)+\epsilon^{-1}T{\rm sh}^{-1}(\frac{\beta}{2}\epsilon),\ b_1=\epsilon\coth(\frac{\beta}{2}\epsilon)-\epsilon T{\rm sh}^{-1}(\frac{\beta}{2}\epsilon),$$

then using that $[T,\epsilon]=0$ we obtain that

$$b_0b_1=b_1b_0=\coth(\frac{\beta}{2}\epsilon)^2-\mathrm{sh}^{-1}(\frac{\beta}{2}\epsilon)^2=1,$$

from which it follows easily that $c^{\pm}$ are (formally) projections. This is expected since the double $\beta-$KMS state ω_β is a pure state in $\mathscr{M}^+\cup\mathscr{M}^-$.

6.2. **Conjugation by $\hat{R}$.** The map $\hat{R}$ defined in (6.1) allows to unitarily identify $L^2(\Sigma^+)\otimes\mathbb{C}^2$ with $L^2(\Sigma^+)\oplus L^2(\Sigma^-)$. We have:

$$\hat{R}^{-1}\epsilon\hat{R}=\epsilon_+\oplus\epsilon_+,\ \hat{R}^{-1}T\hat{R}=\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.5}$$

Denoting by c^+_{ij} for $i,j\in\{0,1\}$ the entries of the matrix c^+ and setting

$$C_{ij}:=\hat{R}^{-1}\circ c^+_{ij}\circ\hat{R},$$

we obtain after an easy computation using (6.3), (6.1):

(6.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{00}g_0=&\ \tfrac{1}{2}g_0^{(0)}\oplus\tfrac{1}{2}g_0^{(\beta/2)},\\
C_{11}g_1=&\ \tfrac{1}{2}g_1^{(0)}\oplus\tfrac{1}{2}g_1^{(\beta/2)},\\
C_{01}g_1=&\ \tfrac{1}{2}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon_+^{-1}\coth(\tfrac{\beta}{2}\epsilon_+)|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}g_1^{(0)}+\tfrac{1}{2}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon_+^{-1}\mathrm{sh}^{-1}(\tfrac{\beta}{2}\epsilon_+)|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}g_1^{(\beta/2)}\\
&\oplus\tfrac{1}{2}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon_+^{-1}\coth(\tfrac{\beta}{2}\epsilon_+)|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}g_1^{(\beta/2)}+\tfrac{1}{2}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon_+^{-1}\mathrm{sh}^{-1}(\tfrac{\beta}{2}\epsilon_+)|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}g_1^{(0)},\\
C_{10}g_0=&\ \tfrac{1}{2}|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon_+\coth(\tfrac{\beta}{2}\epsilon_+)|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}g_0^{(0)}-\tfrac{1}{2}|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon_+\mathrm{sh}^{-1}(\tfrac{\beta}{2}\epsilon_+)|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}g_0^{(\beta/2)}\\
&\oplus\tfrac{1}{2}|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon_+\coth(\tfrac{\beta}{2}\epsilon_+)|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}g_0^{(\beta/2)}-\tfrac{1}{2}|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon_+\mathrm{sh}^{-1}(\tfrac{\beta}{2}\epsilon_+)|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}g_0^{(0)}.
\end{aligned}$$

In (6.6) the upper indices (0), $(\beta/2)$ refer to the two connected components $\{\tau=0\}$ and $\{\tau=\beta/2\}$ of $\partial\Omega$, while the lower indices 0, 1 refer to the two components of g.

6.3. **The Calderón projector.** We now compute the Calderón projector for K_+, associated to the Riemannian manifold $(N,\hat{g})$. We choose

$$\Omega=\{(\tau,y)\in N:0<\tau<\beta/2\}.$$

We have $S=\partial\Omega=S_0\cup S_{\beta/2}$ and we write $f\in C^\infty_{\mathrm{c}}(S)\oplus C^\infty_{\mathrm{c}}(S)$ as $f=f^{(0)}\oplus f^{(\beta/2)}$ for $f^{(i)}\in C^\infty_{\mathrm{c}}(S_i)\oplus C^\infty_{\mathrm{c}}(S_i)$.

We denote by $\gamma^{(i)}$, $i=0,\beta/2$ the trace operators on S_i defined by $\gamma u=\gamma^{(0)}u\oplus\gamma^{(\beta/2)}u$ for $u\in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma^{(0)}u&=\lim_{\tau\to0^+}\begin{pmatrix}u(\tau,y)\\-|v(y)|^{-1}\partial_\tau u(\tau,y)\end{pmatrix},\\
\gamma^{(\beta/2)}u&=\lim_{\tau\to(\beta/2)^-}\begin{pmatrix}u(\tau,y)\\|v(y)|^{-1}\partial_\tau u(\tau,y)\end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

We denote similarly by $\partial_\nu^{(i)}$ the exterior normal derivatives on S_i.

We compute the Calderón projector D defined in Subsect. 5.1 using the coordinates (τ,y). Since $dS_i=|h|^{\frac{1}{2}}(y)dy$ and $dVol_{\hat{g}}=|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}(y)|h|^{\frac{1}{2}}(y)dy$, we obtain:

$$Df=D^{(0)}f\oplus D^{(\beta/2)}f, \tag{6.8}$$

for

$$\begin{aligned}
D^{(i)}f=&\ \gamma^{(i)}\circ G\circ|v|^{-1}\Big(\partial_\nu^{(0)}\delta_0(\tau)\otimes f_0^{(0)}(y)+\delta_0(\tau)\otimes f_1^{(0)}(y)\\
&+\partial_\nu^{(\beta/2)}\delta_{\beta/2}(\tau)\otimes f_0^{(\beta/2)}(y)+\delta_{\beta/2}(\tau)\otimes f_1^{(\beta/2)}(y)\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

Since $G = |v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{G}|v|^{3/2}$ we have $G \circ |v|^{-1} = |v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{G}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Denoting by $D_{kl}^{(i)(j)}$ for $i,j \in \{0,\beta/2\}$ and $k,l \in \{0,1\}$ the various entries of D, we obtain:

$$D_{kl}^{(i)(j)}v = \begin{cases} \gamma_k^{(i)}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{G}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial_\nu^{(j)}\delta_j(\tau)\otimes v(y)),\ l=0, \\ \gamma_k^{(i)}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{G}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}(\delta_j(\tau)\otimes v(y)),\ l=1. \end{cases} \tag{6.10}$$

We also set

$$\partial_\tau^{(i)} = \mp\partial_\tau,\ \text{for } i = 0,\beta/2,$$

so that $\partial_\nu^{(i)} = |v|^{-1}(y)\partial_\tau^{(i)}$.

Proposition 6.2. *We have $D = \hat{R}^{-1}\circ c^+ \circ \hat{R}$.*

Proof. We recall that C_{ij} are the entries of $\hat{R}^{-1}\circ c^+\circ\hat{R}$. We compute $D_{kl}^{(i)(j)}$ using (6.10) and the explicit formulas (4.5), (4.6) for the kernel $\tilde{G}(\tau,\tau')$ of $\tilde{G}$. *Computation of D_{00}*:

$$\begin{aligned}
& D_{00}^{(0)(0)}u = \gamma_0^{(0)}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{G}|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\partial_\tau^{(0)}\delta_0\otimes u \\
= \ & |v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\lim_{\tau\to 0^+}\partial_{\tau'}\tilde{G}(\tau,0)|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}u = \tfrac{1}{2}u, \\
& D_{00}^{(0)(\beta/2)}u = \gamma_0^{(0)}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{G}|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\partial_\tau^{(\beta/2)}\delta_{\beta/2}\otimes u \\
= \ & -|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\lim_{\tau\to 0^+}\partial_{\tau'}\tilde{G}(\tau,\beta/2)|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}u = 0, \\
& D_{00}^{(\beta/2)(0)}u = \gamma_0^{(\beta/2)}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{G}|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\partial_\tau^{(0)}\delta_0\otimes u \\
= \ & |v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\lim_{\tau\to\beta/2^-}\partial_{\tau'}\tilde{G}(\tau,0)u = 0, \\
& D_{00}^{(\beta/2)(\beta/2)}u = \gamma_0^{(\beta/2)}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{G}|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\partial_\tau^{(\beta/2)}\delta_{\beta/2}\otimes u \\
= \ & -|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\lim_{\tau\to\beta/2^-}\partial_{\tau'}\tilde{G}(\tau,\beta/2)|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}u = \tfrac{1}{2}u.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$D_{00}g_0 = C_{00}g_0.$$

Computation of D_{11}:

$$\begin{aligned}
& D_{11}^{(0)(0)}u = \gamma_1^{(0)}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{G}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\delta_0\otimes u \\
= \ & -|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\lim_{\tau\to 0^+}\partial_\tau\tilde{G}(\tau,0)|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}u = \tfrac{1}{2}u, \\
& D_{11}^{(0)(\beta/2)}u = \gamma_1^{(0)}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{G}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{\beta/2}\otimes u \\
= \ & -|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\lim_{\tau\to 0^+}\partial_\tau\tilde{G}(\tau,\beta/2)|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}u = 0, \\
& D_{11}^{(\beta/2)(0)}u = \gamma_1^{(\beta/2)}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{G}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\delta_0\otimes u \\
= \ & |v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\lim_{\tau\to\beta/2^-}\partial_\tau\tilde{G}(\tau,0)|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}u = 0, \\
& D_{11}^{(\beta/2)(\beta/2)}u = \gamma_1^{(\beta/2)}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{G}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{\beta/2}\otimes u \\
= \ & |v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\lim_{\tau\to\beta/2^-}\partial_\tau\tilde{G}(\tau,\beta/2)|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}u = \tfrac{1}{2}u.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$D_{11}g_0 = C_{11}g_0.$$

Computation of D_{01}:

$$\begin{aligned}
& D_{01}^{(0)(0)}u = \gamma_0^{(0)}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{G}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\delta_0\otimes u\\
= \;& |v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\lim_{\tau\to 0^+}\tilde{G}(\tau,0)|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}u = \tfrac{1}{2}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon_+^{-1}\coth(\tfrac{\beta}{2}\epsilon_+)|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}u,\\
& D_{01}^{(0)(\beta/2)}u = \gamma_0^{(0)}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{G}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{\beta/2}\otimes u\\
= \;& |v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\lim_{\tau\to 0^+}\tilde{G}(\tau,\beta/2)|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}u = \tfrac{1}{2}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon_+^{-1}\mathrm{sh}^{-1}(\tfrac{\beta}{2}\epsilon_+)|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}u,\\
& D_{01}^{(\beta/2)(0)}u = \gamma_0^{(\beta/2)}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{G}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\delta_0\otimes u\\
= \;& |v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\lim_{\tau\to \beta/2^-}\tilde{G}(\tau,0)|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}u = \tfrac{1}{2}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon_+^{-1}\mathrm{sh}^{-1}(\tfrac{\beta}{2}\epsilon_+)|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}u,\\
& D_{01}^{(\beta/2)(\beta/2)}u = \gamma_0^{(\beta/2)}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{G}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{\beta/2}\otimes u\\
= \;& |v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\lim_{\tau\to \beta/2}\tilde{G}(\tau,\beta/2)|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}u = \tfrac{1}{2}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon_+^{-1}\coth(\tfrac{\beta}{2}\epsilon_+)|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}u.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$D_{01}g_1 = C_{01}g_1.$$

Computation of D_{10}:

$$\begin{aligned}
& D_{10}^{(0)(0)}u = \gamma_1^{(0)}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{G}|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\partial_\tau^{(0)}\delta_0\otimes u\\
= \;& -|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\lim_{\tau\to 0^+}\partial_\tau\partial_{\tau'}\tilde{G}(\tau,0)|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}u = \tfrac{1}{2}|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon_+\coth(\tfrac{\beta}{2}\epsilon_+)|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}u,\\
& D_{10}^{(0)(\beta/2)}u = \gamma_1^{(0)}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{G}|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\partial_\tau^{(\beta/2)}\delta_{\beta/2}u\\
= \;& |v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\lim_{\tau\to 0^+}\partial_\tau\partial_{\tau'}\tilde{G}(\tau,\beta/2)|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}u = -\tfrac{1}{2}|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon_+\mathrm{sh}^{-1}(\tfrac{\beta}{2}\epsilon_+)|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}u,\\
& D_{10}^{(\beta/2)(0)}u = \gamma_1^{(\beta/2)}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{G}|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\partial_\tau^{(0)}\delta_0\otimes u\\
= \;& |v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\lim_{\tau\to \beta/2^-}\partial_\tau\partial_{\tau'}G(\tau,0)|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}u = -\tfrac{1}{2}|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon_+\mathrm{sh}^{-1}(\tfrac{\beta}{2}\epsilon_+)|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}u,\\
& D_{10}^{(\beta/2)(\beta/2)}u = \gamma_1^{(\beta/2)}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{G}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_\nu^{(\beta/2)}\delta_{\beta/2}\otimes u\\
= \;& -|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\lim_{\tau\to \beta/2^-}\partial_\tau\partial_{\tau'}\tilde{G}(\tau,\beta/2)|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}u = \tfrac{1}{2}|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon_+\coth(\tfrac{\beta}{2}\epsilon_+)|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}u.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$D_{10}g_0 = C_{10}g_0.$$

This completes the proof of the proposition. □

7. The Hartle-Hawking-Israel state and its properties

7.1. **The smooth extension of $(N,\hat{g})$ and the Hawking temperature.** The existence of the Hartle-Hawking-Israel state and the definition of the Hawking temperature $T_H = \kappa(2\pi)^{-1}$ (where κ is the surface gravity) rely on the existence of an extension $(N_{\rm ext},\hat{g}_{\rm ext})$ of $(N,\hat{g})$ such that the two components $S_0, S_{\beta/2}\sim\Sigma^+$ of $\partial\Omega$ are smoothly glued together into $\Sigma\subset N_{\rm ext}$.

The extended Riemannian metric $\hat{g}_{\rm ext}$ is smooth iff $\beta = (2\pi)\kappa^{-1}$ (for other values of β $(N_{\rm ext},\hat{g}_{\rm ext})$ has a conic singularity on $\mathscr{B}$).

Let us embed $\Sigma\backslash\mathscr{B}$ into N by:

$$\hat{r}:\left\{\begin{array}{l} x\mapsto(0,x) \text{ for } x\in\Sigma^+,\\ x\mapsto(\beta/2,r(x)) \text{ for } x\in\Sigma^-,\end{array}\right.$$

Note that for $\hat{R}$ defined in (6.1) we have

$$\hat{R} = (\hat{r})^*. \tag{7.1}$$

We recall that the function $m:\Sigma\to\mathbb{R}^+$ was introduced in Subsect. 2.4.

Proposition 7.1. [S1, Subsect. 2.2] *Assume that $\beta = (2\pi)\kappa^{-1}$. Then there exists a smooth complete Riemannian manifold $(N_{\rm ext}, \hat{g}_{\rm ext})$ and*
(1) *a smooth isometric embedding $\psi : \Sigma \to N_{\rm ext}$,*
(2) *a smooth isometric embedding $\chi : (N, \hat{g}) \to (N_{\rm ext} \backslash \mathscr{B}_{\rm ext}, \hat{g}_{\rm ext})$ for $\mathscr{B}_{\rm ext} = \psi(\mathscr{B})$,*
(3) *a smooth function $m_{\rm ext} : N_{\rm ext} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $m_{\rm ext} \geq m_0^2 > 0$*
such that

$$\psi\restriction_{\Sigma\backslash\mathscr{B}} = \chi \circ \hat{r}, \ \psi^* m_{\rm ext} = m\restriction_N \ .$$



FIGURE 2. The embedding χ

This fundamental fact is well explained in [S1, Subsect. 2.2]. Let us briefly recall the construction of χ following [S1]: one introduces Gaussian normal coordinates to $\mathscr{B}$ in (Σ, h), where h is the Riemannian metric induced by g on Σ. We choose the unit normal vector field to $\mathscr{B}$ pointing towards Σ^+. Using these coordinates we can, since $\mathscr{B}$ is compact, identify a small neighborhood U of $\mathscr{B}$ in Σ with $]-\delta, \delta[\times\mathscr{B}$. Denoting by ω local coordinates on $\mathscr{B}$ we have a map

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi :]-\delta, \delta[\times\mathscr{B} \ni (s, \omega) \mapsto y = \exp^h_\omega(s) \in U,\\
&U^+ = \phi(]0, \delta[\times\mathscr{B}),\\
&\phi^* h = ds^2 + k_{\alpha\beta}(s, \omega) d\omega^\alpha d\omega^\beta,
\end{aligned}$$

for $U^+ = \Sigma^+ \cap U$. In the local coordinates (τ, s, ω) on $\mathcal{S}_\beta \times U^+$ the embedding χ takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi : \ & \mathcal{S}_\beta\times]0, \delta[\times\mathscr{B} \to B_2(0, \delta) \times \mathscr{B}\\
& (\tau, s, \omega) \mapsto (s\cos(\beta(2\pi)^{-1}\tau), s\sin(\beta(2\pi)^{-1}\tau), \omega) =: (X, Y, \omega),
\end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

where $B_2(0, \delta) = \{(X, Y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 < X^2 + Y^2 < \delta^2\}$. A straighforward computation performed in [S1, Subsect. 2.2] shows that $\hat{g}$ admits a smooth extension $\hat{g}_{\rm ext}$ to $N_{\rm ext}$ iff $\beta = (2\pi)\kappa^{-1}$.

7.2. **The extension of ω_β to M.** We recall from Subsect. 4.1 that K is defined from the closure $\overline{Q}$ of the quadratic form Q on $C^\infty_{\rm c}(N)$.

Similarly $K_{\rm ext} = -\Delta_{\hat{g}_{\rm ext}} + m_{\rm ext}$, acting on $N_{\rm ext}$ is defined using the corresponding quadratic form $Q_{\rm ext}$.

The following lemma is equivalent to [S1, Prop. 5.2], for completeness we give a short proof using quadratic form arguments (note that we assume the stronger condition that $\inf m(x) > 0$).

Lemma 7.2. *Let* $U : C_{\rm c}^\infty(N) \to C_{\rm c}^\infty(N_{\rm ext}\backslash\mathscr{B}_{\rm ext})$ *defined by*

$$Uu = u \circ \chi^{-1}.$$

Then U *extends as a unitary operator* $U : L^2(N) \to L^2(N_{\rm ext})$ *with* $K_{\rm ext} = UKU^*$.

Proof. U clearly extends as a unitary operator. To check the second statement it suffices, taking into account the way K and $K_{\rm ext}$ are defined, to prove that $C_{\rm c}^\infty(N_{\rm ext}\backslash\mathscr{B}_{\rm ext})$ is a form core for $Q_{\rm ext}$. The domain of $\overline{Q}_{\rm ext}$ is the Sobolev space $H^1(N_{\rm ext})$ associated to $\hat{g}_{\rm ext}$, so we need to show that $C_{\rm c}^\infty(N_{\rm ext}\backslash\mathscr{B}_{\rm ext})$ is dense in $H^1(N_{\rm ext})$. Using the coordinates (X, Y, ω) near $\mathscr{B}_{\rm ext} \sim \{0\} \times \mathscr{B}$, this follows from the fact that $C_{\rm c}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\})$ is dense in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, see eg [A, Thm. 3.23]. □

We recall that the projection c^+ associated to the double $\beta-$KMS state ω_β was defined in (6.4). Let us identify in the sequel Σ with $\Sigma_{\rm ext} = \psi(\Sigma) \subset N_{\rm ext}$.

Theorem 7.3. *Let* $D_{\rm ext}$ *the Calderón projector for* $(K_{\rm ext}, \Sigma)$. *Then for* $f, g \in C_{\rm c}^\infty(\Sigma\backslash\mathscr{B}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ *we have:*

$$(g|c^+ g)_{L^2(\Sigma)} = (g_{\rm ext}|D_{\rm ext} f_{\rm ext})_{L^2(\Sigma)},$$

where $f_{\rm ext} = (\psi^*)^{-1} f$, $g_{\rm ext} = (\psi^*)^{-1} g$.

Proof. This follows from Prop. 6.2, the fact that $\hat{R}$ is implemented by the embedding $\hat{r}$ of $\Sigma\backslash\mathscr{B}$ into N, (see (7.1)) and Lemma 7.2. □

7.3. **Uniqueness of the extension.** We discuss now the uniqueness of extensions of ω_β to M. Other types of uniqueness results were obtained before in [KW] and [K1].

Proposition 7.4. *There exists at most one quasi-free state* ω *for the Klein-Gordon field on* M *such that:*

(1) *the restriction of* ω *to* $\mathscr{M}^+ \cup \mathscr{M}^-$ *equals* ω_β,
(2) *the spacetime covariances* $\Lambda^\pm$ *of* ω *map* $C_{\rm c}^\infty(M)$ *into* $C^\infty(M)$.

Proof. Let ω a quasi-free state for the Klein-Gordon operator P in M, with spacetime covariances $\Lambda^\pm$. We assume that $\Lambda^\pm : C_{\rm c}^\infty(M) \to C^\infty(M)$. Denoting by $\Lambda^\pm(x, x')$ their Schwartz kernels, we have $P(x, \partial_x)\Lambda^\pm(x, x') = P(x', \partial_{x'})\Lambda^\pm(x, x') = 0$, which implies that

$$\mathrm{WF}(\Lambda^\pm)' \subset \mathscr{N} \times \mathscr{N}, \tag{7.3}$$

where $\mathscr{N}$ is defined in (3.7). We claim that the entries $c^\pm_{k,k'}$, $k, k' = 0, 1$ of $c^\pm$ defined in (3.11) map $C_{\rm c}^\infty(\Sigma)$ into $C^\infty(\Sigma)$. In fact by (7.3) we have $\Lambda^\pm = \Lambda^\pm \circ A$ modulo smoothing, where $A \in \Psi^0(M)$ is a pseudodifferential operator with essupp(A) included in an arbitrary small conical neighborhood of $\mathscr{N}$. For $u \in C_{\rm c}^\infty(\Sigma)$ we have, modulo factors of i:

$$c^\pm_{k,k'} u = \partial_t^k \Lambda^\pm \circ A(-\partial_t^{k'} \delta_0 \otimes u)\restriction_{t=0},$$

see (3.11). Since $\mathrm{WF}((-\partial_t^{k'}\delta_0) \otimes u) \subset N^*\Sigma$, where $N^*\Sigma \subset T^*M$ is the conormal bundle to Σ and Σ is spacelike, we have $N^*\Sigma \cap \mathscr{N} = \emptyset$, hence $A(-\partial_t^{k'}\delta_0 \otimes u) \in C^\infty(M)$, which proves our claim.

Let now ω_i, $i = 1, 2$ be two quasi-free states as in the proposition. Since $(u|(\Lambda_1^+ - \Lambda_2^+)v)_{L^2(M)} = 0$ for $u, v \in C_{\rm c}^\infty(\mathscr{M}^+ \cup \mathscr{M}^-)$ we obtain that $(f|(\lambda_1^+ - \lambda_2^+)g)_{L^2(\Sigma)\otimes\mathbb{C}^2} = 0$ for $f, g \in C_{\rm c}^\infty(\Sigma\backslash\mathscr{B}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ hence $\mathrm{supp}(\lambda_1^+ - \lambda_2^+)g \subset \mathscr{B}$ for $g \in C_{\rm c}^\infty(\Sigma\backslash\mathscr{B}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$. Since we have seen that $\lambda_i^+ : C_{\rm c}^\infty(\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \to C^\infty(\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ this implies that $(\lambda_1^+ - \lambda_2^+)f = 0$ for $f \in C_{\rm c}^\infty(\Sigma\backslash\mathscr{B}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$. Since λ_i^+ are selfadjoint for $L^2(\Sigma, dVol_h) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ this implies that $\mathrm{supp}(\lambda_1^+ - \lambda_2^+)f \subset \mathscr{B}$ for $f \in C_{\rm c}^\infty(\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$, hence $(\lambda_1^+ - \lambda_2^+)f = 0$ using again that $\lambda_i^+ : C_{\rm c}^\infty(\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \to C^\infty(\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$. □

7.4. **The Hartle-Hawking-Israel state.**

Theorem 7.5 ([S1]). *Let us set*

$$\lambda^+_{\rm HHI} := q \circ D_{\rm ext},\ \lambda^-_{\rm HHI} := \lambda^+_{\rm HHI} - q,$$

where $D_{\rm ext}$ is the Calderón projector for $(K_{\rm ext}, \Sigma)$ and the charge quadratic form q is defined in (3.8). *Then:*

(1) *$\lambda^\pm_{\rm HHI}$ are the Cauchy surface covariances for the Cauchy surface Σ of a quasi-free state $\omega_{\rm HHI}$ for the free Klein-Gordon field on M.*
(2) *the Hartle-Hawking-Israel state $\omega_{\rm HHI}$ is a pure Hadamard state and is the unique extension to M of the double $\beta-$KMS state ω_β with the property that its spacetime covariances $\Lambda^\pm_{\rm HHI}$ map continuously $C^\infty_{\rm c}(M)$ into $C^\infty(M)$.*

Proof. Let us first prove (1). By (3.3) it suffices to check the positivity of $\lambda^+_{\rm HHI}$. This was shown in [S1, Thm. 5.3] using reflection positivity. For the reader's convenience, let us briefly repeat the argument:

for $u \in L^2(N)$ we set $Ru(\tau, y) = u(-\tau, y)$, for $\tau \in [-\beta/2, \beta/2] \sim \mathbb{S}_\beta$. The operator $G = \kappa^{-1}$ is *reflection positive*, i.e.

$$(Ru|Gu)_{L^2(N)} \geq 0,\ \forall u \in L^2(N),\ {\rm supp} u \subset [0, \beta/2] \times \Sigma^+. \tag{7.4}$$

In fact setting $\tilde u = |v|^{3/2} u$, (7.4) is equivalent to

$$(R\tilde u|\tilde G\tilde u)_{L^2(\mathbb{S}_\beta)\otimes L^2(\Sigma^+)} \geq 0,\ \forall \tilde u \in L^2(\mathbb{S}_\beta) \otimes L^2(\Sigma^+),\ supp \tilde u \subset [0, \beta/2] \times \Sigma^+. \tag{7.5}$$

Using (4.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (R\tilde u|\tilde G\tilde u)_{L^2(\mathbb{S}_\beta)\otimes L^2(\Sigma^+)} \\ = \ & (u_0|\tfrac{1}{2\epsilon(1-{\rm e}^{-\beta\epsilon})} u_0)_{L^2(\Sigma^+)} + (u_\beta|\tfrac{1}{2\epsilon(1-{\rm e}^{-\beta\epsilon})} u_\beta)_{L^2(\Sigma^+)}, \end{aligned}$$

for

$$u_0 = \int_{S_\beta} {\rm e}^{-\tau\epsilon} \tilde u(\tau) d\tau,\ u_\beta = \int_{S_\beta} {\rm e}^{(\tau-\beta/2)\epsilon} \tilde u(\tau) d\tau$$

where $\tilde u$ is identified with the map $\mathbb{S}_\beta \ni \tau \mapsto \tilde u(\tau) \in L^2(\mathbb{S}_\beta; L^2(\Sigma^+))$. This proves (7.4).

By Lemma 7.2 and using that $G_{\rm ext} = K^{-1}_{\rm ext}$ is bounded on $L^2(N_{\rm ext})$, we deduce from (7.4) that $G_{\rm ext}$ is also reflection positive, ie

$$(R_{\rm ext} u|G_{\rm ext} u_{\rm ext})_{L^2(N_{\rm ext})} \geq 0,\ u \in L^2(N_{\rm ext}),\ {\rm supp} u \subset N^+_{\rm ext} = \chi([0, \beta/2] \times \Sigma^+), \tag{7.6}$$

for $R_{\rm ext} = URU^*$. By the remark before [S1, Thm. 5.3], if (s, y) are Gaussian normal coordinates to Σ in $N_{\rm ext}$ we have $R_{\rm ext} u(s, y) = u(-s, y)$, ie $R_{\rm ext}$ is given by the reflection in Gaussian normal coordinates. This map is a isometry of $(N_{\rm ext}, \hat g_{\rm ext})$, which implies that if $\hat g_{\rm ext} = ds^2 + h_{\rm ext}(s, y) dy^2$ near Σ, we have $h_{\rm ext}(s, y) = h_{\rm ext}(-s, y)$ hence if $r_s(y) = |h_{\rm ext}(s, y)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_s |h_{\rm ext}(s, y)|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ we have $r_0(y) \equiv 0$.

If $f \in C^\infty_{\rm c}(\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ it follows from (5.3) that $D_{\rm ext} f = \gamma G_{\rm ext} T f$ for

$$Tf = \delta_0(s) \otimes f_1 - \delta_0'(s) \otimes f_0.$$

We have $R_{\rm ext} T f = \delta_0(s) \otimes f_1 + \delta_0'(s) \otimes f_0$. Applying the reflection positivity (7.6) to $u = Tf$ we obtain that:

$$(R_{\rm ext} Tf|G_{\rm ext} Tf)_{L^2(N_{\rm ext})} = (f|qD_{\rm ext} f)_{L^2(\Sigma)} \geq 0,$$

which proves the positivity of $\lambda^+_{\rm HHI}$. To make the argument rigorous is suffices to approximate δ_0 by a sequence φ_n as in (5.4). This completes the proof of (1).

Let us now prove (2). The fact that $\omega_{\rm HHI}$ is the unique extension of ω_β to M with the stated properties has been proved in Prop. 7.4. It remains to prove that $\omega_{\rm HHI}$ is a pure Hadamard state in M.

The fact that $\omega_{\rm HHI}$ is pure follows from the fact that $D_{\rm ext}$ is a projection. To prove the Hadamard property let us fix a reference Hadamard state $\omega_{\rm ref}$ for the Klein-Gordon field in M. By Thm. 3.2 its Cauchy surface covariances on Σ $\lambda^{\pm}_{\rm ref}$ are matrices of pseudodifferential operators on Σ. The same is true of $c^{\pm}_{\rm ref} = \pm q^{-1} \circ \lambda^{+}_{\rm ref}$ and of $c^{\pm}_{\rm HHI}$, since Calderón projectors are given by matrices of pseudodifferential operators on Σ.

Moreover we know that the restriction of $\omega_{\rm HHI}$ to $\mathscr{M}^+ \cup \mathscr{M}^-$ is a Hadamard state. The same is obviously true of the restriction of $\omega_{\rm ref}$ to $\mathscr{M}^+ \cup \mathscr{M}^-$. Going to Cauchy surface covariances, this implies that if $\chi \in C^\infty_{\rm c}(\Sigma^{\pm})$ then

$$\chi \circ (c^{\pm}_{\rm HHI} - c^{\pm}_{\rm ref}) \circ \chi \text{ is a smoothing operator on } \Sigma.$$

We claim that this implies that $c^{\pm}_{\rm HHI} - c^{\pm}_{\rm ref}$ is smoothing, which will imply that $\omega_{\rm HHI}$ is a Hadamard state.

If fact let a be one of the entries of $c^{+}_{\rm HHI} - c^{+}_{\rm ref}$, which is a scalar pseudodifferential operator belonging to $\Psi^m(\Sigma)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{R}$. We know that $\chi \circ a \circ \chi$ is smoothing for any $\chi \in C^\infty_{\rm c}(\Sigma \backslash \mathscr{B})$. Then its principal symbol $\sigma_{\rm pr}(a)$ vanishes on $T^*(\Sigma \backslash \mathscr{B})$ hence on $T^*\Sigma$ by continuity, so $a \in \Psi^{m-1}(\Sigma)$. Iterating this argument we obtain that a is smoothing, which proves our claim and completes the proof of the theorem. □

Acknowledgments. It is a pleasure to thank Michał Wrochna, Ko Sanders and Francis Nier for useful discussions. We are also grateful to Ko Sanders for his kind comments on a previous version of the manuscript.

References

[A] Adams, R.: *Sobolev Spaces* Academic Press, (1975).

[CP] Chazarain, J., Piriou, A.: *Introduction to the Theory of Linear Partial Differential Operators*, North-Holland, (1982).

[DG] Derezinski, J., Gérard, C.: *Mathematics of Quantization and Quantum Fields*, Cambridge Monographs in Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, 2013.

[HH] Hartle, J. B. , Hawking, S. W.: Path-integral derivation of black-hole radiance, Phys. Rev. D **13** (1976), 2188âĂŞ2203.

[I] Israel, W.: Thermo-field dynamics of black holes, Phys. Lett. **57**A (1976),107âĂŞ110.

[K1] Kay, B. S.: Sufficient conditions for quasifree states and an improved uniqueness theorem for quantum fields on spacetimes with horizons, J. Math. Phys. **34** (1993), 4519âĂŞ4539.

[K2] Kay, B. S.: A uniqueness result for quasifree KMS states, Helv. Phys. Acta **58** (1985),1017âĂŞ1029.

[K3] Kay, B. S.: Purification of KMS states, Helv. Phys. Acta **58** (1985), 1030âĂŞ1040.

[KW] Kay, B. S., Wald, R. M.: Theorems on the uniqueness and thermal properties of stationary, nonsingular, quasifree states on spacetimes with a bifurcate Killing horizon, Phys. Rep. **207** (1991), 49âĂŞ136.

[GOW] C. Gérard, O. Oulghazi, M. Wrochna: Hadamard states for the Klein-Gordon equation on Lorentzian manifolds of bounded geometry, preprint `arXiv:1602.00930` (2016).

[GW1] C. Gérard, M. Wrochna: Construction of Hadamard states by pseudo-differential calculus, Comm. Math. Phys. **325**, (2014), 713-755.

[GW2] Gérard, C., Wrochna, M.: Hadamard states for the linearized Yang-Mills equation on curved spacetime, Comm. Math. Phys. **337** (2015), 253-320.

[GW3] Gérard, C., Wrochna, M.:Hadamard property of the *in* and *out* states for Klein-Gordon fields on asymptotically static spacetimes, preprint hal-01337432 (2016).

[R] Radzikowski, M.: Micro-local approach to the Hadamard condition in quantum field theory on curved spacetime, Comm. Math. Phys. **179** (1996), 529–553.

[S1] Sanders, K.: On the construction of HartleâĂŞHawkingâĂŞIsrael states across a static bifurcate Killing horizon, Letters in Math. Phys. **105** (2015), 575-640.

[S2] Sanders, K.: Thermal equilibrium states of a linear scalar quantum field in stationary spacetimes, **28** (2013) 1330010.

[Sh] Shubin, M.: *Pseudodifferential Operators and Spectral Theory*, Springer 2001.

Université Paris-Sud XI, Département de Mathématiques, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France
E-mail address: christian.gerard@math.u-psud.fr